

CABINET MEMBER FOR STREETPRIDE

Venue: Training Room,
3rd Floor Bailey House,
Rawmarsh Road,
Rotherham. S60 1TD

Date: Monday, 7th June, 2010

Time: 9.30 a.m.

A G E N D A

1. To determine if the following matters are to be considered under the categories suggested, in accordance with the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended March 2006).
2. To determine any item which the Chairman is of the opinion should be considered later in the agenda as a matter of urgency.
3. Opening of e-tenders. (report attached) (Pages 1 - 2)
4. Streetpride Response Times - Year End Report. (copy attached) (Pages 3 - 16)
Jon Surridge, Projects and Performance Office (Streetpride), to report.
- to report the 2009/2010 Streetpride performance.
5. Expiry of the Strategic Partnership Contract for Civil Engineering Schemes (G100). (report attached) (Pages 17 - 20)
John Bufton, Schemes and Partnerships Manager, to report.
- to note the content of the report.
6. Highway Damage Following Severe Winter Weather. (report attached) (Pages 21 - 30)
Andy Shaw, Streetpride Community Delivery Manager, to report.
- to update the Cabinet member on the level of highway damage caused by the extreme winter weather and detail action taken and proposed to address the situation.

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS

| | | |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | Meeting: | Cabinet Member for Streetpride |
| 2. | Date: | 7TH JUNE, 2010 |
| 3. | Title: | OPENING OF E-TENDERS |
| 4. | Directorate: | Chief Executive's |

5. Summary

The purpose of this report is to record the opening of e-tenders for the Waste Paper Processing and Recycling Tender.

5. Recommendation:-

That the action of the Cabinet Member in opening the e-tenders be recorded.

7. Proposals and Details

E-tenders for the following were opened by the Cabinet Member for Streetpride:-

On 10th March, 2010:-

- Waste paper processing and recycling

on 10th May, 2010:-

- Supply and installation of Traffic Signals

on 18th May, 2010:-

- Tree Services

8. Finance

To secure value for money. To maintain service and performance.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Impact on performance and budget.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

In accordance with financial and contractual requirements.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Emails: Waste Management Officer

Contact Name : Janet Cromack, Senior Democratic Services Officer

Ext: 22055

Email: janet.cromack@rotherham.gov.uk

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS

| | | |
|-----------|----------------------|---|
| 1. | Meeting:- | Cabinet Member - Delegated Powers Meeting |
| 2. | Date:- | 7 June 2010 |
| 3. | Title:- | Streetpride Response Times - Year End Report |
| 4. | Directorate:- | Environment and Development Services |

5. Summary

Streetpride has maintained a high overall level of performance during the financial year 2009/10, but performance dropped slightly in the last quarter of the financial year due to adverse weather and problems with the Siebel CRM System.

6. Recommendation:

That Streetpride's performance in 2009/10 be noted.

7. Proposals and Details

Streetpride has maintained a high level of overall performance against targets during the financial year 2009/10 and achieved an overall result of 97.3% compared with the previous financial year's out-turn of 97.4%

However, performance during the fourth quarter of the financial year 2009/10 dropped slightly to 95.8% (compared with the previous quarter's result of 97.6%). Details of monthly performance against each target during both quarters are shown in Appendices 1 and 2 respectively.

Average performance during Quarter 4 exceeded the 90% target in all but 4 cases. Where there were drops in performance (to below 90%), these are explained in the exception report (see Appendix 3). The main factors impacting on Streetpride's performance during Quarter 4 were the particularly adverse weather in January, an increase in requests to clear litter and a technical fault which occurred with RBT's 'Siebel' CRM system in March 2010.

In November 2009, the Regeneration Scrutiny Panel approved a revised suite of target response times which were brought into effect on 1 April 2010. Streetpride's performance against the new targets will continue to be recorded and monitored monthly with results reported regularly to the Director of Streetpride. Initial indications are that reasonably good performance against the new standards is likely to be achieved.

8. Finance

Costs incurred in delivering the 'old' suite of target response times were covered by the Streetpride Revenue Budget for 2009/10. It is too early to assess the precise financial effects of implementing the revised suite of targets, but it is intended that costs will be absorbed within the 2010/11 budget by implementing 'smarter' ways of working including the integration of street cleansing with grounds maintenance to form area teams. This should avoid putting further pressure on what will be limited resources in 2010/11.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Streetpride's overall efficiency in meeting target response times can be adversely affected by factors beyond Streetpride's control (such as snow, flooding or industrial action) and continues to be dependant on the Service receiving adequate levels of funding.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

Streetpride's rapid and efficient response in dealing with local environmental issues will make an important contribution to the new corporate vision of making Rotherham 'a prosperous place with safe, clean, green and healthy communities' as well as contributing to the Council's priority of 'looking after and improving the environment'

through the faster clearance of litter and the timely disposal of abandoned cars, removal of fly tipping, graffiti, drug litter and dog fouling.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Appendix 1 - Streetpride response times for the period October to December 2009

Appendix 2 - Streetpride response times for the period January to March 2010

Appendix 3 – Exception Report for January to March 2010

The appendices have been produced jointly with Neighbourhood Services

Contact Name: *Jon Surridge, EDS Projects and Performance Officer (Streetpride)*
Extension 22908 e-mail: jonathan.surridge@rotherham.gov.uk

APPENDIX 1

| STREETPRIDE RESPONSE TIMES | | | | Number of requests | % meeting target response time | Number of requests | % meeting target response time | Number of requests | % meeting target response time | % meeting target response times | % meeting target response times | Comments and actions to improve performance (where below 90%) |
|--------------------------------|------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Oct-09 | | Nov-09 | | Dec-09 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | |
| Andy Roddis | 1(a) | Make safe dangerous overhanging trees/vegetation on highway land. | If necessary, the danger will be signed and guarded within 4 hrs. | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 1(b) | | Cutting back will be carried out within 5 days. | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Andy Roddis | 2(a) | Make safe dangerous overhanging trees/vegetation on private land. | If necessary, the danger will signed and guarded within 4 hrs. | 0 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 2(b) | | Cutting back by landowner - within 14 days (after written notice from Streetpride) | 2 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Andy Rowley / Maria Under wood | 3 | Provision of estimate for Vehicular Access Crossing (excluding factors outside Streetpride's control) | 10 working days (after receipt of written request). | 7 | 100.0% | 17 | 100.0% | 6 | 83.3% | 98.1% | 94.4% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Allan Lewis | 4 | Street light out. | 3 working days (for a non supply fault). | 381 | 95.0% | 466 | 92.0% | 288 | 95.0% | 94.7% | 94.0% | Good overall performance during the quarter. The average time to deal with street lighting faults was 1.95 days compared with the 3 day target |
| Mick Powell | 5(a) | Faulty traffic lights. | All lights out - 1 hr | 6 | 100.0% | 14 | 100.0% | 13 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 5(b) | | Single bulb failure 24 hrs | 9 | 100.0% | 21 | 100.0% | 10 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Oct-09 | | Nov-09 | | Dec-09 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | |
|--------------|-------|---|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Andy Roddis | 6 | Dangerous defect in carriageway. | 4 hrs after being reported by the public | 13 | 100.0% | 52 | 94.2% | 31 | 93.5% | 98.0% | 95.9% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Andy Roddis | 7 | Dangerous defect on footpath. | 4 hrs after being reported by the public | 5 | 100.0% | 9 | 100.0% | 16 | 100.0% | 98.2% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Pete Hyde | 8 | Removal of fly tipping | 1 working day | 211 | 89.1% | 216 | 94.0% | 181 | 90.1% | 88.6% | 91.1% | Good overall performance during the quarter The average time to deal with fly tipping during the quarter was 0.6 days, compared with the 1 day target |
| Pete Hyde | 9 | Removal of dog mess | 1 working day | 41 | 100.0% | 39 | 97.4% | 47 | 87.3% | 96.3% | 94.9% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Steve Finley | 10(a) | Removal of abandoned car. | Burnt out - same day (if reported before noon), otherwise within 24 hours | 0 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 10(b) | | Wreck or dangerous - within 24 hrs | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 10(c) | | Runner 15 working days | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Andy Roddis | 11 | Make safe missing cover e.g. public and private sewers, gas, water or BT apparatus. | 4 hrs to make safe and inform the owner. Owner to carry out repairs. | 16 | 100.0% | 82 | 91.5% | 27 | 92.3% | 98.2% | 94.6% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Oct-09 | | Nov-09 | | Dec-09 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | |
|-----------|----|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pete Hyde | 12 | Clear up spillage on carriageway. | 4 hrs | 14 | 100.0% | 7 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 98.9% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Oct-09 | | Nov-09 | | Dec-09 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | |
|--------------|-------|---|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pete Hyde | 13 | Empty overflowing litter bin/dog bin | 4 hrs | 3 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 97.2% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Graham Kaye | 14(a) | Clear blocked gully causing severe ponding. | 4 hrs to sign and guard | 1 | 100.0% | 6 | 100.0% | 3 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 14(b) | | blockage relieved within 1 working day. | 2 | 100.0% | 11 | 100.0% | 4 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Bob Morrison | 15 | Empty missed wheelie bin (if reported within 24 hrs of being missed), | Same day (if reported before 1pm) Within 1 working day (if reported after 1.00 p.m.) | 101 | 100.0% | 64 | 100.0% | 124 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Bob Morrison | 16 | Remove bulky item (after receipt of payment). | 9 working days | 262 | 98.1% | 296 | 94.3% | 275 | 93.1% | 95.6% | 95.2% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Pete Hyde | 17 | Remove racist or offensive graffiti (subject to agreement with the landowner) | 1 working day . | 21 | 100.0% | 13 | 92.3% | 7 | 100.0% | 93.9% | 97.4% | Very good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Pete Hyde | 17a | Remove 'other' graffiti (subject agreement with the landowner) - i.e. graffiti which is not racist or offensive | 4 working days | 52 | 98.1% | 40 | 80.0% | 27 | 92.6% | 90.8% | 90.2% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Lewis Coates | 18 | Request for an enforcement visit | 4 working days. | 129 | 99.2% | 123 | 99.2% | 93 | 92.0% | 97.5% | 96.8% | Very good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Lewis Coates | 19 | Clear up drug litter | 2 hours | 28 | 93.0% | 45 | 95.0% | 18 | 88.0% | 95.7% | 92.0% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Oct-09 | | Nov-09 | | Dec-09 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | |
|------------------------|-----|--|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lewis Coates | 20 | Report of a stray dog | 1 working day | 121 | 100.0% | 130 | 100.0% | 85 | 100.0% | 99.7% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Steve Finley | 21a | Investigate report of un-taxed vehicle and report to DVLA | 24 hours | 88 | 100.0% | 64 | 100.0% | 37 | 97.7% | 99.2% | 99.2% | Excellent overall performance during the quarter. |
| Steve Finley | 21b | Remove untaxed vehicle if authorised to do so by the DVLA | 24 hours (after authorisation from DVLA) | 19 | 100.0% | 21 | 100.0% | 8 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Pete Hyde | 22 | Remove litter following a report and return street to high standard of cleanliness | 7 working days | 58 | 89.7% | 26 | 100.0% | 45 | 91.2% | 92.8% | 93.6% | Good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Overall Average | | | | | | | | | | 97.8% | 97.6% | |

APPENDIX 1

| STREETPRIDE RESPONSE TIMES | | | | Number of requests | % meeting target response time | Number of requests | % meeting target response time | Number of requests | % meeting target response time | % meeting target response times | % meeting target response times | |
|--------------------------------|------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Jan-10 | | Feb-10 | | Mar-10 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | Comments |
| Andy Roddis | 1(a) | Make safe dangerous overhanging trees/vegetation on highway land. | If necessary, the danger will be signed and guarded within 4 hrs. | 0 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 3 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 1(b) | | Cutting back will be carried out within 5 days. | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Andy Roddis | 2(a) | Make safe dangerous overhanging trees/vegetation on private land. | If necessary, the danger will signed and guarded within 4 hrs. | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 2(b) | | Cutting back by landowner - within 14 days (after written notice from Streetpride) | 0 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Andy Rowley / Maria Under wood | 3 | Provision of estimate for Vehicular Access Crossing (excluding factors outside Streetpride's control) | 10 working days (after receipt of written request). | 4 | 100.0% | 8 | 100.0% | 14 | 100.0% | 98.6% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Allan Lewis | 4 | Street light out. | 3 working days (for a non supply fault). | 345 | 89.0% | 301 | 97.0% | 280 | 96.0% | 94.5% | 94.0% | Reasonably good overall performance during the quarter (see exception report) |
| Mick Powell | 5(a) | Faulty traffic lights. | All lights out - 1 hr | 10 | 100.0% | 15 | 100.0% | 8 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 5(b) | | Single bulb failure 24 hrs | 12 | 100.0% | 14 | 100.0% | 20 | 95.0% | 99.6% | 98.3% | Very good overall performance during the quarter |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Jan-10 | | Feb-10 | | Mar-10 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | Comments |
|--------------|-------|---|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Andy Roddis | 6 | Dangerous defect in carriageway. | 4 hrs after being reported by the public | 113 | 91.0% | 101 | 93.0% | 78 | 91.0% | 96.4% | 91.7% | Reasonably good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Andy Roddis | 7 | Dangerous defect on footpath. | 4 hrs after being reported by the public | 22 | 91.0% | 6 | 83.0% | 15 | 100.0% | 96.5% | 91.3% | Reasonably good overall performance during the quarter (see exception report) |
| Pete Hyde | 8 | Removal of fly tipping | 1 working day | 184 | 80.4% | 259 | 80.3% | 282 | 80.9% | 86.6% | 80.5% | Performance during the quarter below expectation (see exception report) |
| Pete Hyde | 9 | Removal of dog mess | 1 working day | 100 | 80.0% | 123 | 81.3% | 61 | 70.5% | 91.5% | 77.3% | Performance during the quarter below expectation (see exception report) |
| Steve Finley | 10(a) | Removal of abandoned car. | Burnt out - same day (if reported before noon), otherwise within 24 hours | 1 | 100.0% | 2 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 10(b) | | Wreck or dangerous - within 24 hrs | 2 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 3 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 10(c) | | Runner 15 working days | 0 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 2 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Andy Roddis | 11 | Make safe missing cover e.g. public and private sewers, gas, water or BT apparatus. | 4 hrs to make safe and inform the owner. Owner to carry out repairs. | 26 | 92.0% | 42 | 88.0% | 26 | 92.3% | 96.3% | 90.8% | Reasonably good overall performance during the quarter (see exception report) |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Jan-10 | | Feb-10 | | Mar-10 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | Comments |
|--------------|-------|---|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pete Hyde | 12 | Clear up spillage on carriageway. | 4 hrs | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 99.2% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Pete Hyde | 13 | Empty overflowing litter bin/dog bin | 4 hrs | 8 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 100.0% | 97.9% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Graham Kaye | 14(a) | Clear blocked gully causing severe ponding. | 4 hrs to sign and guard | 2 | 100.0% | 7 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| | 14(b) | | blockage relieved within 1 working day. | 2 | 100.0% | 7 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Bob Morrison | 15 | Empty missed wheelie bin (if reported within 24 hrs of being missed), | Same day (if reported before 1pm) Within 1 working day (if reported after 1.00 p.m.) | 100 | 100.0% | 67 | 100.0% | 142 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Bob Morrison | 16 | Remove bulky item (after receipt of payment). | 9 working days | 175 | 93.7% | 299 | 90.3% | 347 | 95.1% | 95.0% | 93.0% | Reasonably good overall performance during the quarter. |
| Pete Hyde | 17 | Remove racist or offensive graffiti (subject to agreement with the landowner) | 1 working day . | 15 | 80.0% | 8 | 87.5% | 13 | 100.0% | 92.7% | 89.2% | Performance during the quarter below expectation (see exception report) |
| Pete Hyde | 17a | Remove 'other' graffiti (subject agreement with the landowner) - i.e. graffiti which is not racist or offensive | 4 working days | 19 | 94.7% | 22 | 100.0% | 26 | 96.2% | 92.3% | 97.0% | Very good overall performance during the quarter |

APPENDIX 1

| Resp | | Request for Action | Target Response 2008/09 | Jan-10 | | Feb-10 | | Mar-10 | | Cumulative (Year to Date) | Average this quarter | Comments |
|------------------------|-----|--|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lewis Coates | 18 | Request for an enforcement visit | 4 working days. | 162 | 98.7% | 229 | 99.1% | 232 | 98.3% | 97.8% | 98.7% | Very good overall performance during the quarter |
| Lewis Coates | 19 | Clear up drug litter | 2 hours | 18 | 83.0% | 25 | 80.0% | 42 | 88.1% | 92.7% | 83.7% | Performance below expectation (see exception report) |
| Lewis Coates | 20 | Report of a stray dog | 1 working day | 113 | 100.0% | 146 | 100.0% | 156 | 100.0% | 99.8% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Steve Finley | 21a | Investigate report of un-taxed vehicle and report to DVLA | 24 hours | 73 | 97.3% | 85 | 98.8% | 109 | 99.1% | 99.0% | 98.4% | Very good overall performance during the quarter |
| Steve Finley | 21b | Remove un-taxed vehicle if authorised to do so by the DVLA | 24 hours (after authorisation from DVLA) | 20 | 100.0% | 10 | 100.0% | 20 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | Target fully met throughout the quarter |
| Pete Hyde | 22 | Remove litter following a report and return street to high standard of cleanliness | 7 working days | 66 | 95.5% | 95 | 89.5% | 151 | 86.8% | 92.2% | 90.6% | Reasonably good overall performance during the quarter (see exception report) |
| Overall Average | | | | | | | | | | 97.3% | 95.8% | |

Appendix 3**Exception Report****Streetpride Response Times 2009/10 (Quarter 4)**

| Request for Action | Target | Month | Actual | Explanation |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---|
| Street Light out | 90% within prescribed target response time | January 2010 | 89.0% | The result was marginally below target due to difficulties encountered as a result of heavy snowfalls in the first two weeks of January. |
| Dangerous defect on footway | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 83.0% | The result was below target due to an increase in reports of potholes following severe weather in January and a 'spike' in reports of stolen gullies in February which put severe strain on the delivery teams |
| Removal of fly tipping | 90% within prescribed target response time | January 2010 | 80.4% | The result was below target due to resources being diverted to assist with winter maintenance activities after heavy snow and ice. |
| Removal of fly tipping | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 80.3% | The result was below target because on 18 February all crew members had to attend compulsory first aid training, so no fly tipping reports were attended to on the day, which had a knock on effect during the rest of the week and creating a backlog. |
| Removal of fly tipping | 90% within prescribed target response time | March 2010 | 80.9% | In March, a prolonged technical fault with Siebel delayed reports being passed through in time to meet target the response time |
| Removal of dog mess | 90% within prescribed target response time | January 2010 | 80.0% | The result was below target due to resources being diverted to assist with winter maintenance activities after heavy snow and ice. |
| Removal of dog mess | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 81.3% | The result was below target because of a high volume of Siebel requests (284 jobs) in February for the rapid response team which has a higher priority than dog fouling. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-------|---|
| Removal of dog mess | 90% within prescribed target response time | March 2010 | 70.5% | A big increase in requests to clear litter put pressure on resources causing performance to drop significantly |
| Make safe missing cover e.g. public and private sewers, gas, water or BT apparatus | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 88.0% | The result was below target due to an increase in reports of potholes due to the severe weather in January and also a 'spike' in reports of stolen gullies in February which, put severe strain on the delivery teams |
| Remove racist or offensive graffiti (subject to agreement with the landowner) | 90% within prescribed target response time | January 2010 | 80.0% | The result was below target due resources being diverted to assist with winter maintenance activities following heavy snow and ice. |
| Remove racist or offensive graffiti (subject to agreement with the landowner) | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 87.5% | The result was below target because one job was within school grounds and staff had to wait for the caretaker to give access which took the completion time over the target |
| Clear up drug litter | 90% within prescribed target response time | January 2010 | 83.0% | Awaiting report from Lewis Coates (NAS) |
| Clear up drug litter | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 80.0% | Ditto |
| Clear up drug litter | 90% within prescribed target response time | March 2010 | 88.1% | Ditto |
| Remove litter following a report and return street to high standard of cleanliness | 90% within prescribed target response time | February 2010 | 89.5% | The result was marginally below target. This was due to a higher than usual number of requests to clear litter in February |
| Remove litter following a report and return street to high standard of cleanliness | 90% within prescribed target response time | March 2010 | 86.8% | The result was below target. This was due to a much higher than average number of requests to clear litter |

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS

| | | |
|-----------|----------------------|--|
| 1. | Meeting:- | Streetpride Services |
| 2. | Date:- | 7 June 2010 |
| 3. | Title:- | Expiry of the Strategic Partnership Contract for Civil Engineering Schemes (G100) |
| 4. | Directorate:- | Environment and Development Services |

5. Summary

The G100 Strategic Partnership Contract for Civil Engineering Schemes with Ringway began on 12 July 2004 initially for 5 years. It was extended for a further two years on 12 July 2009, as permitted under the contract. This report considers the arrangements to be put in place after the contract has expired on 11 July 2011.

6. Recommendations

It be resolved that the content of the report be noted

7. Proposals and Details

Authorisation to enter into this contract was granted by the former Cabinet Member for Economic and Development Services on 17 May 2004. It was extended by resolution of the Cabinet Member for Streetpride on 27 October 2008.

It is considered that this contract has been very successful and that it has delivered many benefits for the Council, many of which were outlined in the report dated 27 October 2008 and referred to above. In addition to the large number of schemes successfully delivered by the in-house Schemes Delivery Team, who also operated under the provisions of the contract, there have been a number of significant schemes delivered by Ringway. These include the award winning Highfield Lane Bridge, Todwick Bridge, Great Eastern Way highway reconstruction, Centenary Way highway reconstruction and Ulley Reservoir Rehabilitation.

During the period of the contract to date, there has been a relatively healthy funding environment including an enhanced LTP, DfT grant, Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder and RMBC Capital Programme.

With the completion of the Centenary Way works and the imminent completion of the work at Ulley Reservoir, there will be no major works underway and none are currently envisaged which would fall within the scope of the contract.

In the present financial year, 2010/11, it is envisaged that payments to Ringway under the contract will total less than £3m compared with payments in the immediate past financial year of around three times that amount.

Although no definitive figures are yet available for future transportation funding the indications are that, as a result of the budget review, there will be a significant reduction compared to recent years. While some headline figures may soon be published, it is unlikely that any firm allocations will be available until December 2010. It is expected that a reduction of up to 40% has been considered for LTP funding in 2011/12.

An initial assessment of the likely levels of funding from 2011 onwards suggests it will not sustain anywhere near the current workload. Furthermore, as the Council will not be able to advise potential tenderers of the value of works included in a new contract, it is considered that the future procurement of Highways Maintenance services should not be progressed until there is more certainty about the funding streams.

It is therefore proposed that the arrangements for the immediate future delivery of highway/civil engineering works will be as follows.

The majority of construction work will be delivered through in-house teams. If the funding reductions are as severe as currently envisaged, the workload will not sustain the present size of these teams and so careful and rapid analysis of announcements on details of funding will have to be made in order to enable any required organisational change to be managed. Regular meetings between officers

have already begun to consider potential changes and develop options to deal with these.

Specialist treatments for highway maintenance like the gripfibre process or surface dressing, will be procured as separate contracts which could extend over several years if that is considered to provide the best value for money. Specialist treatments tend to be weather sensitive and are carried out during the spring and summer months. Accordingly, it is quite possible that the programme of specialist highway treatments could be delivered by Ringway before the expiry of their contract in July 2011. This would then obviate the necessity to separately procure these services until 2012.

Similarly, any bridge maintenance works such as concrete repair, bearing replacement and corrosion protection maintenance would be arranged through a tender process to engage suitably qualified contractors.

As future funding arrangements become known and determined with a reasonable degree of certainty, the above arrangements will be reviewed and any appropriate changes considered.

8. Finance

There are no financial implications in the expiry of the current G100 contract which has been extended to its maximum term and will cease under the normal provisions of the contract.

One of the significant advantages of the G100 contract is the ability to order work without additional procurement activity. After the expiry of the contract, any necessary tendering activity will increase staff time and associated costs for this process. However, it is envisaged that in most cases where tenders will be required, the funding will be external capital (e.g. LTP) and any relatively small additional costs should not impact significantly on revenue budgets.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

The proposal is relatively low risk, as the Council already has in-house capacity to carry out the majority of the anticipated construction workload and there is an established procurement methodology to engage other contractors where required.

Currently, the main uncertainty is what the future level of funding will be for transportation and any associated construction.

Once the contract has expired should specific funding become available at short notice, it is likely to take longer to mobilise construction resources, especially if the works are specialist in nature.

The Council will lose the availability of the full resources of the Ringway Group to support any emergency.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

Construction activity in the highway/civil engineering field contributes to three of the Council's corporate priorities: 'Rotherham Safe', 'Rotherham Achieving, Rotherham Alive and Rotherham Safe through the delivery of the Local Transport Plan and improvements to the condition of the principal road network.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

This issue has been discussed with Ringway management, most recently at a Partnership Steering Group meeting held on 7 April 2010.

Background papers

Report to the former Cabinet Member for Economic and Development Services on 17 May 2004.

Report to the Cabinet Member for Streetpride on 27 October 2008

Contact Name: **John Bufton, Schemes & Partnerships Manager, Streetpride Service, extension 2943,**
john.bufton@rotherham.gov.uk

| |
|---|
| ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT |
|---|

| | | |
|-----------|----------------------|---|
| 1. | Meeting:- | Cabinet Member for Streetpride |
| 2. | Date:- | 7 June 2010 |
| 3. | Title:- | Highway Damage Following Severe Winter Weather |
| 4. | Directorate:- | Environment and Development Services |

5. Summary

This report updates Cabinet Member on the level of highway damage caused by the extreme winter weather and details the action taken and proposed to address the situation.

6. Recommendations:

That:

- a) **The report together with the action taken and proposed to address the identified damage be approved.**
- b) **Investment in the repair of the remainder of the “Other Severe Damage” at an estimated cost of £576,000 be considered against other competing priorities in the Medium Term Financial Strategy**

7. Proposals and Details

(i) Background

In December, January and February we experienced a period of extreme winter weather that is reported to be the worst event for 30 years. The freeze/thaw action associated with this weather dramatically accelerated the deterioration of our highway network to the extent that it left considerable lengths of carriageway in need of urgent repair, and created many more dangerous defects than would normally be experienced.

This is a national problem and a detailed report was made to Cabinet Member on 15 March 2010. The current report updates on progress but in particular details that the identified damage liability has significantly increased,

(ii) Action taken to address the situation between mid-January (at the point of the main thaw) and the end of March 2010

- a) Immediately dangerous defects, in particular potholes were given first priority. In the period January to March 2010 almost 10,000 potholes were made safe compared with 3,581 in the same period last year (please note that last year included a period of relatively severe snow/frost). In addition, labour resources were stretched in addressing other immediate priorities including winter gritting, replacing approximately 300 stolen gully covers and dealing with fissures that opened up in highways in Maltby.
- b) Emergency rapid inspection of the highway network was carried out. This focused on identifying carriageways with urgent winter damage only and greater attention was given to the “precautionary gritting routes” (i.e. mainly the classified network, bus routes and main spine routes through residential areas). The priority of repair was ranked on the following basis/order:
 - a. “**Priority Damage**” (this damage is the most urgent and includes locations where the integrity of the carriageway is so poor that it is difficult to fill potholes effectively and meet the duty to keep the highway safe). Appendix A identifies the areas of “*Priority Damage*”.
 - b. “**Other Severe Damage**” – on the precautionary route
 - c. “**Other Severe Damage**” – on the non-precautionary route
- c) As shown in Appendix A all the “*Priority Damage*” was repaired at a cost of £727,000 in a 10 week programme between the main thaw after the end of the coldest period (i.e. at week commencing 18 January 2010) and the end of the financial year. In effect an additional £504,000 was spent over and above the normal basic highway maintenance budget and the funding sources were fully described in the earlier report.

- d) Inspections had also identified a further £794,000 of “*Other Severe Damage*” (damage not ranked as severe as the “*Priority Damage*”). Repair of this together with other planned patching work carried out prior to surface dressing/treatments (£80,000) was deferred to 2010/11.

(iii) Progress since April 2010

- a) In the budget the former Chancellor announced £100 million of additional funding for local authorities to repair winter damaged highways. Rotherham’s allocation in 2010/11 from this funding is £327,700.
- b) In April, it was clear that the degree of damage was escalating and a further inspection of the highway network was then undertaken and completed in early May. Appendix B shows the damaged locations in each ward and that the total estimated cost of repair of the “*Other Severe Damage*” has risen to £1,317,200 (prioritised in the following three categories):

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| “ <i>Other Severe Damage</i> ” – Urgent Phase 2 Repairs | £263,000 |
| “ <i>Other Severe Damage</i> ” – on the precautionary route | £699,100 |
| “ <i>Other Severe Damage</i> ” – on the non-precautionary route | £355,100 |
| TOTAL for “<i>Other Severe Damage</i>” | £1, 317,200 |

- c) The programme of deferred pre-patching works (£80,000) is currently in progress and a few of the more urgent “*Other Severe Damage*” repairs have been undertaken. Other repairs have been prioritised (identified in Appendix B as “Urgent Phase 2 repairs”) and prepared for construction.

(iv) Proposal

As set out in Section 7 Finance below, no funding source (this financial year) has been identified for £576,000 of the “*Other Severe Damage*”. It is proposed that full details and risks are considered in the updating of the Medium Term Financial Strategy alongside other competing investment priorities.

8. Finance

Available funding is as follows:

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Basic Highway Maintenance Revenue Budget | £963,360 |
| DfT additional funding of winter damage | £327,700 |
| TOTAL | £1,291,060 |

Against this budget there are major fixed costs estimated at £550,000. This sum is built up from the following: potholing/hazardous defects (£300K), deferred pre-patching work (£80K), high speed road Sunday work, i.e. Parkway, A57, etc. (£50K), miscellaneous (footway repairs, hedges, drainage, fissures, walls, stolen gullies, verge damage, etc. £120K).

Deduction of the fixed costs from the available funding then leaves approximately £741,000 available to address the "*Other Severe Damage*" (costed at £1,317,200). This dictates that approximately £576,000 of the "*Other Severe Damage*" has no identified funding source and currently repairs are held on a reserve list.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Despite the fact that Rotherham's measured road condition is better than the South Yorkshire average, unfortunately public satisfaction with highway condition in Rotherham is very low (22% in Reachout 18 in 2008). Highway condition appears to be a particular local concern and in several surveys has been identified as almost the public's highest priority for investment.

Notwithstanding the successful funding of the "*Priority Damage*" (£727,000) repairs last financial year, together with planned repair of £741,000 of "*Other Severe Damage*" this financial year, risks associated with deferral of the remaining £576,000 of "*Other Severe Damage*" include:

- That the severity of the damage, cost of repairs and insurance claims will undoubtedly increase as timely repairs were not made when the damage occurred
- That despite the Council's commitment to the enhanced Principal Roads Maintenance Programme (an additional £5 million capital investment over 3 years) and the recent completion of the £4 million reconstruction of Centenary Way, it is likely because of the very poor condition of these defects that the public will perceive the delay in addressing the "*Other Severe Damage*" together with any reduced spending on normal patching works in 2010/11 as a continuing reason to be dissatisfied with highway condition.

Other risks include:

- The extent and degree of latent damage still appears to be increasing and it is possible that the damage identified in the report could be an under-estimate of the eventual liability.
- A high level of pothole repairs is still being undertaken and if this reactive high expenditure continues it is possible that a lower amount of the planned "*Other Severe Damage*" repairs will be affordable this year.

- The anticipated spend of £741,000 on “Other Severe Damage” repairs this year leaves nothing available in the Basic Highway Maintenance budget to tackle normal highway patching repairs over this full financial year including further damage in winter 2010/11 or to deal with any unforeseen circumstances.
- The winter damage will risk significantly whether the Local Area Agreement target for improved condition of principal roads is met.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

- **Rotherham Safe** – It is the duty of the Council as Highway Authority to maintain highways safe for the traffic that wishes to use them. Once roads have failed structurally their future performance can be unpredictable. Deterioration can take place rapidly and local defects such as potholes can appear almost overnight.
- Good quality road surfaces contribute towards **Rotherham Achieving** (through transport being a key element for regeneration), **Rotherham Alive** (through the impact of road condition on the street scene and local quality of life) and **Rotherham Proud** (by assisting in the presentation of a positive external and internal image for the borough)
- Satisfaction with highway condition could have a bearing on performance under the Comprehensive Area Assessment.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Financial Services has approved financial details in this report.

The Quality of Life Survey in 2006 identified maintenance of roads as the public's second highest priority for Council spending. This was only behind “Tackling Crime and Anti-Social Behaviour” and ahead of “Schools”, “Clean Streets”, “Care Services” and a range of thirteen other services.

Contact Name: Andy Shaw, Streetpride Community Delivery Manager, Extension 2981, e-mail: andy-engineers.shaw@rotherham.gov.uk.

**APPENDIX A
LIST OF PRIORITY DAMAGE REPAIRS (SHOWING TIMESCALE OF REPAIRS)**

KEY

PRIORITY DAMAGE

WORKS COMMENCED 19 JANUARY 2010 - WEEK 1, WORKS TERMINATED 28 MARCH 2010 END OF WEEK 10

| PRIORITY DAMAGE | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Location | Area | Ward | Exact Location | Proposed Week for Completion | Estimated Cost | Cost of Work by BHM Team | Cost of Works by SD | Cost of Works by Ringway | Capital Funding Needed | RERF Funded |
| Hardwick Lane | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | (Worksop Road Service Road) | Week 1 - Done | 21,000.00 | 21,000.00 | | | | |
| The Chase | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | from Worksop Road to Southlands Way | Week 1 - Done | 20,000.00 | 20,000.00 | | | | |
| Bawtry Road | Wickersley | Ward 20 : Wickersley | Large patches o/s wickersley Comp | Week 1 - Done | 4,000.00 | | 4,000.00 | | 4,000.00 | |
| The Crescent | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | junc Steadfolds Lane + o/s 53 | Week 2 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| West Street | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | various patches from West St to Katherine St | Week 2 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Grange Lane | Maltby | Ward 9 : Maltby | between Cavendish Place / Devonshire Road | Week 2 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Claypit Lane | Rawmarsh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | near farm drive and o/s Fuss | Week 2 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Thrybergh Hall Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | several large patches along road including Junction with Kilnhurst Road o/s fighting cocks | Week 2 - Done | 4,000.00 | 4,000.00 | | | | |
| Morthern Road | Wickersley | Ward 5 : Hellaby | 23 patches through out | Week 2 - Done | 16,000.00 | 16,000.00 | | | | |
| Tickhill Road | Maltby | Ward 9 : Maltby | Full junction repair in the entrance to working pit | Week 2 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | 10,000.00 | |
| Morthern Road | Wickersley | Ward 5 : Hellaby | 23 patches through out | Week 3 - Done | 15,000.00 | 15,000.00 | | | | |
| Thrybergh Hall Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | several large patches along road including Junction with Kilnhurst Road o/s fighting cocks | Week 3 - Done | 30,000.00 | 30,000.00 | | | | |
| Town Lane | Greasbrough | Ward 21 : Wingfield | opp lamp 3 | Week 4 - Done | 8,000.00 | 8,000.00 | | | | |
| Bawtry Road | Brinsworth | Ward 3: Brinsworth & Catcliffe | near to Bonet Lane junction | Week 4 - Done | 7,000.00 | 7,000.00 | | | 7,000.00 | |
| Church Lane | Greasbrough | Ward 21 : Wingfield | near to junction with Grayson Road opp lamp no 28 | Week 4 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | | |
| Roughwood Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | from o/s Forty Martyrs Church to junction Roughwood Way | Week 4 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | | |
| Roughwood Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | junction with Grayson Road | Week 4 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Hooton Road | Kilnhurst | Ward 14 : Silverwood | between Ship Inn and No 27 | Week 5 - Done | 13,000.00 | 13,000.00 | | | | |
| Wath Road | Brampton Bierlow | Ward 7: Hooper | 13 patches from junction with Chapel Ave to Pontefract Road | Week 5 - Done | 30,000.00 | 30,000.00 | | | | |
| St Georges Drive | Brinsworth | Ward 3: Brinsworth & Catcliffe | Worst area of Estate road | Week 5 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | | |
| Haugh Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | From junction with Greasbrough Lane to school entrance | Week 6 - Done | 18,000.00 | 18,000.00 | | | | |
| Farm View Road | Kimberworth | Ward 8 : Keppel | between Nos 34 & 54 | Week 6 - Done | 18,000.00 | 18,000.00 | | | | |
| Farm View Road / Carr view Road | Kimberworth | Ward 8 : Keppel | between Nos 34 & 54 | Week 7 - Done | 21,000.00 | 21,000.00 | | | | |
| Haugh Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | From junction with Greasbrough Lane to school entrance | Week 7 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | | |
| Wentworth Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | junction Harding Avenue and near Warren View | Week 7 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Old Wortley Road | Kimberworth | Ward 8 : Keppel | from opp 16 to opp 82 - trench reinstatement | Week 7 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | | |
| Roughwood Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | roundabout near Plough | Week 7 (Sunday) | 11,000.00 | 11,000.00 | | | | 11,000.00 |
| Wales Road | Kiveton park | Ward 18: Wales | 2no large patch both running lanes junction with Chapel Way near Coop | Week 8 - Done | 30,000.00 | 30,000.00 | | | | |
| Walesmoor Ave | Wales | Ward 18 : Wales | 2 No jcts with Wales Rd | Week 8 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Sycamore Avenue | Wickersley | Ward 20 : Wickersley | 7no large patches approx | Week 8 - Done | 20,000.00 | 20,000.00 | | | | |
| Coalbrook Road, | Orgreave | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | from Retford rd to o/s 10 | Week 8 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Greasbrough Road | Parkgate | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | From Dudley Street to Broad Street | Week 8 (Sunday) | 6,000.00 | 6,000.00 | | | | 6,000.00 |
| Rotherham Road | Maltby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | A631 outside Ambulance station | Week 8 | 35,000.00 | | 35,000.00 | | 35,000.00 | |
| A57 Sheffield Road | Anston | Ward 1 : Anston & Woodsetts | 2no large patch near Cemetry Sheffiled Road and other Lindrick Dale - Substantial down time. | Week 8 - Done | 48,000.00 | | | 48,000.00 | 48,000.00 | |
| Woory Goose Lane | Whiston | Ward 15: Sitwell | 1 large patch across Laites Road. | Week 9 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |
| Brampton Lane, | Brampton-en-le | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | either side aerial m/way bridge | Week 9 - Done | 7,500.00 | 7,500.00 | | | | |
| Hangman Lane | Laughton Common | Ward 4 : Dinnington | works near to bend sandall view / all saints meadow | Week 9 - Done | 15,000.00 | 15,000.00 | | | | |
| Monkwood Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | junc Estate Road, Jackson Crescent and Roundabout | Week 9 - Done | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 | | | | 10,000.00 |
| New Brinsworth Road | Brinsworth | Ward 3: Brinsworth & Catcliffe | junction of Whitehill Lane | Week 9 - Done | 5,000.00 | 5,000.00 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| School Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11: Rother Vale | Whole road surface defective | Week 9 - Done | 7,000.00 | 7,000.00 | | | | 7,000.00 |
| Green Arbour Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11: Rother Vale | min 5no patches | Week 9 - Done | 3,000.00 | 3,000.00 | | | | 3,000.00 |
| Whitehill Road, Brinsworth | Brinsworth | Brinsworth and Catcliffe | various from Brinswth Lane to Willowgarth Ave | Week 9 - Done | 7,500.00 | 7,500.00 | | | | |
| Ravenfield Lane | Ravenfield | Ward 14 : Silverwood | Outside Hall Mews + extra patches | Week 10 - Done | 20,000.00 | 20,000.00 | | | | |
| School Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11: Rother Vale | Whole road surface defective | Week 10 - Done | 15,000.00 | 15,000.00 | | | | 15,000.00 |
| Green Arbour Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11: Rother Vale | min 5no patches | Week 10 - Done | 4,000.00 | 4,000.00 | | | | |
| Pleasley Road | Whiston | Ward 15 : Sitwell | o/s Guilthwaite Common Farm o/b, opp Guilthwaite Common Farm i/b | Week 10 - Done | 30,000.00 | | 30,000.00 | | 30,000.00 | |
| B6089 Packman Road, | Brampton Bierlow | Ward 7: Hooper | Several patches on classified road T.M issue | Week 10 - Done | 20,000.00 | | | 20,000.00 | | 20,000.00 |
| Packman Road | Brampton | Ward 7 : Hooper | between Abdy Rd and Amercia Lane (several) | Week 10 - Done | 10,000.00 | | | 10,000.00 | | 10,000.00 |
| Stubbin Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | near Marquis area | Week 10 - Done | 10,000.00 | | | 10,000.00 | | 10,000.00 |
| Eastwood Lane | Town Centre | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | from junction of Morpeth Street to Clifton Building car park | Week 10 - Done | 24,000.00 | | | 24,000.00 | | 24,000.00 |
| Stanley Street | Town Centre | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | from s/o Bluecoats to s/o CP Hodgkinson Accountants | Week 10 - Done | 34,000.00 | | | 34,000.00 | | 34,000.00 |
| Fitzwilliam Road | Eastwood | Ward 12: Rotherham East | Proposed repair works throughout | Week 10 - Done | 25,000.00 | | 25,000.00 | | 25,000.00 | |
| | | | <u>TOTAL COST OF PRIORITY DAMAGE REPAIRS COMPLETED</u> | | <u>£727,000</u> | <u>£487,000</u> | <u>£94,000</u> | <u>£146,000</u> | <u>£159,000</u> | <u>£150,000</u> |

APPENDIX B
LIST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE

KEY

| | |
|--|--|
| | OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - URGENT PHASE 2 REPAIRS |
| | OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE |
| | OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - NON PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE |

| OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - URGENT PHASE 2 REPAIRS | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Location | Area | Ward | Exact Location | Completion | Estimated Cost |
| Programme of Phase 2 Works | | | | | |
| A631 Bawtry Road/ M18 r/Bout | Hellaby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | Patch repair at top of slip road | Done - 30/04/10 | £5,000.00 |
| School Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11: Rother Vale | Whole road surface defective | Done -07/05/10 | £10,000.00 |
| Green Arbour Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11: Rother Vale | min 5no patches | Done -07/05/10 | £10,000.00 |
| Mansfield Road | Swallownest | Ward 6 : Holderness | large patch o/s Nos 45 and 47 | Done -07/05/10 | £10,000.00 |
| Woory Goose Lane | Whiston | Ward 15: Sitwell | Cowrakes Lane to Greystones Rd (5 no small patches) - Sunady Closure | Phase 2 | £5,000.00 |
| Spinneyfield | Moorgate | Ward 15: Sitwell | Junction Moorgate Road to #92 | Phase 2 | £20,000.00 |
| Spinneyfield | Moorgate | Ward 15: Sitwell | o/s #2 to o/s #20 | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| Church Lane | Dinnington | Ward 4 : Dinnington | Cramfit Brook to Limelands Rd - Non precautionary route | Phase 2 | £20,000.00 |
| Gildingwells Road | Woodsetts | Ward 1 : Anston | Worksop rd to lewis Way | Phase 2 | £6,000.00 |
| Rosemary Road | Wickersley | Ward 20 : Wickersley | 2 patches from Fairways to No.1 - Non precautionary route | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| Lapwater Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | 4no large patches to be resurfaced - approx 1000 m2 | Phase 2 | £15,000.00 |
| Cinderbridge Road | Greasbrough | Ward 21 : Wingfield | patching throughout | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| Ox Close Ave | Kimberworth Park | Ward 21 : Wingfield | Jcn Roughwood Road | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| The Whinns | Greasbrough | Ward 21 : Wingfield | Joint deterioration in junction | Phase 2 | £5,000.00 |
| Park Lane | Thrybergh | Ward 17 : Valley | junc Doncaster Road | Phase 2 | £2,000.00 |
| Park Lane | Thrybergh | Ward 17 : Valley | junc Vale Road | Phase 2 | £5,000.00 |
| Magna Lane | Dalton | Ward 17 : Valley | Various patches through rural route | Phase 2 | £20,000.00 |
| Red Hill | Kiveton Park | Ward 18 : Wales | Level crossing to Lay by - Non precautionary route | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| Gildingwells Road | Woodsetts | Ward 1 : Anston | Worksop rd to lewis Way | Phase 2 | £6,000.00 |
| Killamarsh Lane | Harthill | Ward 18 : Wales | 400m from boundary | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| Winney lane | Harthill | Ward 18 : Wales | 450m from Village for approx 75m | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| Oldcotes Road | Throapham | Ward 4 : Dinnington | Patching throughout | Phase 2 | £24,000.00 |
| Cemetery Road | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | junction Stumpcross Road | Phase 2 | £20,000.00 |
| Broad Dyke Close | Kiveton Park | Ward 18 : Wales | Estate cul-de-sac - overlay - Non precautionary route | Phase 2 | £5,000.00 |
| Warren Vale | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Two large patches near to roundabout | Phase 2 | £10,000.00 |
| TOTAL COST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - URGENT PHASE 2 REPAIRS | | | | | £263,000.00 |
| OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE | | | | | |
| Location | Area | Ward | Exact Location | Completion | Estimated Cost |
| Woodland Drive | North Anston | Ward 1 : Anston | Full length of gritting/bus route - overlay | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Woodsetts Road | North Anston | Ward 1 : Anston | Channel edges throughout | Reserve | £14,000.00 |
| Crowgate | South Anston | Ward 1 : Anston | Throughout | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Dinnington Road | Woodsetts | Ward 1 : Anston | Red tarmac areas | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Brunswick Road/Broom Valley Rd | Broom | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | Brunswick Road roundabout | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Badsley Moor Lane, | Clifton | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | From Badsley Street to Middle Lane, 1.5m wide strip all way down side to Herringthorpe playing field – length 460m. Blag out 60mm, cut back 2 layers of 20mm | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Moorgate Road | Moorgate | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | junction Mansfield Rd to junction Hollowgate | Reserve | £4,000.00 |
| Howarth Road | Brinsworth | Ward 3 : Brinsworth & Catcliffe | junction Chiltern Rise nr Grahern Avenue, between Snowden Way and Wenslydale Way (o/s bus stop) | Reserve | £4,000.00 |
| Orgreave Road | Catcliffe | Ward 3 : Brinsworth & Catcliffe | junction Poplar Way | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Willowgarth Ave | Brinsworth | Ward 3 Brinsworth and Catcliffe | Nr junc Whitehill Rd | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| West Street | Carr | Ward 4 : Dinnington | Throughout | Reserve | £23,000.00 |
| St Johns Road | Laughton | Ward 4 : Dinnington | From Church to Common Road | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Newhall Lane | Newhall | Ward 4 : Dinnington | From Kingsforth Lane to bend - overlay | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Hooton Lane | Slade Hooton | Ward 4 : Dinnington | From jct Main St to Laughton crossroads | Reserve | £19,000.00 |
| Cumwell Lane | Hellaby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | 1 Patch jcn Bawtry Rd o/s Douglas Paul | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Bawtry Rd, | Wickersley | Ward 5 : Hellaby | o/s Sir Jacks (12m2) | Reserve | £600.00 |
| Morthern Road | Wickersley | Ward 5 : Hellaby | near Wick R/about + additional patches on cross trenches | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Holderness Drive | Aston | Ward 6 : Holderness | junction Wesley Avenue, opp 14 + junc Aughton Lane | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Elsacar Road | Brampton | Ward 7 : Hooper | RHS outband | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Hauge Road | Wentworh | Ward 7 : Hooper | Failed trench reinstatement | Reserve | £15,000.00 |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Hague Lane | Wentworth | Ward 7 : Hooper | halfway down left hand side & 50m before new resurfacing | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Packman Road | Brampton | Ward 7 :Hooper | America Lane to Abdy Road | Reserve | £11,000.00 |
| Hague Lane | Wentworth | Ward 7: Hooper | old gas trench failing | Reserve | £6,000.00 |
| Chapelfield Road | Thorpe Hesley | Ward 8 : Keppel | Wentworth Road to Chapelfield Way | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Hesley Lane | Thorpe Hesley | Ward 8 : Keppel | Between Nos 164 & London Way. | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Grange Lane | Maltby | Ward 9 : Maltby | junction with Stainton Lane | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Lansbury Avenue | Maltby | Ward 9 : Maltby | throughout (330m2) | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Stainton Lane | Maltby | Ward 9 : Maltby | Throughout (320m2) - some 2cse | Reserve | £8,500.00 |
| Green Rise | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Junction with Harding Avenue | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Green Lane | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Single Patch | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Haugh Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Several areas from junction with wentworth Road to Green Rise | Reserve | £20,000.00 |
| Dale Road (unclassified section) | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Several areas of poor surfacing | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Rosehill Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Two large patches | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Green Lane | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | o/s 79 and Peakstone Mews | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Monkwood Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | Roundabout | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Katherine Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | o/s 94, o/s 2 | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Arundel Road | Treeton | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | junc Arundel Street | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Mill Lane | Treeton | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | o/s Mill House - junc Treeton Lane | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Station Rd | Treeton | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | on bend and various | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Treeton Lane | Treeton | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | nr River Rother Bridge | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Doncaster Road | East Dene | Ward 12 : Rotherham East | VAC to Far Lane Shops | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Kimberworth Road | Bradgate | Ward 13 : Rotherham West | opp jcn Bradgate Rd | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| South Street | Kimberworth | Ward 13 : Rotherham West | Richmond Rd to Fox Street | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Midland Road | Masbrough | Ward 13 : Rotherham West | Union St to Garden St r/bout | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Glasshouse Road | Rawmarsh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | under the bridge and nearby trench | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Hollings Lane | Thrybergh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | Various large defective patches throughout, incl top of bend | Reserve | £30,000.00 |
| Vale Road | Thrybergh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | Opposite Link Road | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Woory Goose Lane | Whiston | Ward 15: Sitwell | Laites Ave - Cowrakes Lane - Grip Fibre | Reserve | £20,000.00 |
| Rookery Road | Swinton | Ward 16 : Swinton | outside 27 | Reserve | £2,500.00 |
| Rookery Road | Swinton | Ward 16 : Swinton | junc Brameld Road | Reserve | £2,500.00 |
| Herringthorpe Valley Road | East Herringthorpe | Ward 17 : Valley | Several Large Patches in defective wearing course | Reserve | £20,000.00 |
| Aldwarke Lane | Aldwarke | Ward 17 : Valley | 10 no cross road joints throughout | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Beech Avenue | Brecks | Ward 17 : Valley | Throughout | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Laudsdale Road | East Herringthorpe | Ward 17 : Valley | Outside the school | Reserve | £4,000.00 |
| Goosecarr Lane | Todwick | Ward 18: Wales | On bend | Reserve | £14,000.00 |
| Barnsley Road | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | junc Grove Road | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Church Street | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | outside Police Station (sinking) | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Doncaster Road | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | junc Old Doncaster Road | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Festival Road | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | Large Patch outside L.C. plus 3 large patches from Wath Wood to Camp Sall Fileds | Reserve | £20,000.00 |
| Cross St | Bramley | Ward 20 : Wickersley | 1 patch at junction with Bawtry Rd | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Sycamore Avenue | Wickersley | Ward 20 : Wickersley | 2no large patches approx 400m | Reserve | £20,000.00 |
| Town Lane | Greasbrough | Ward 21 : Wingfield | Between Whitehall Road and Greysons Road | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Derwent Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | 3no large patches to be resurfaced - approx 700 m2 | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Roughwood Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | 3 large longitudinal patches between School and Wingfield Road + junction of Fenton Road | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Wensleydale Road | Wingfield | Ward 21 : Wingfield | Whole road resurface approx 1000m2 | Reserve | £25,000.00 |
| Numerous locations on Principal route | Numerous Wards | Numerous Wards | Numerous spot locations on Principal route identified by scanner | Reserve | £100,000.00 |
| | | | TOTAL COST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE | | £699,100.00 |
| OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - NON PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE | | | | | |
| Location | Area | Ward | Exact Location | Completion | Estimated Cost |
| Gildingwells Road | Woodsetts | Ward 1 : Anston | Worksop rd to lewis Way | Reserve | £6,000.00 |
| Fairleigh Drive | Moorgate | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | (Full C/Way Overlay) | Reserve | £12,000.00 |
| Woodfoot Rd | Moorgate | Ward 2 : Boston Castle | Removal of Humps / resurfacing | Reserve | £12,000.00 |
| Whitehill Avenue, | Brinsworth | Ward 3 : Brinsworth & Catcliffe | end culdesac | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Waverley View | Catcliffe | Ward 3 : Brinsworth & Catcliffe | from 12-32 aprx | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Brinsworth Rd (service road) | | Ward 3 : Brinsworth & Catcliffe | between 269 and 315. | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Manor Road | Brinsworth | Ward 3 Brinsworth and Catcliffe | Various throughout | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Low Lane | Carr | Ward 4 : Dinnington | in wooded area & 'wet area' | Reserve | £37,000.00 |
| Laughton Road | Dinnington | Ward 4 : Dinnington | From New st to Breck Lane | Reserve | £6,000.00 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|---------|-----------------------------|
| Outgang Lane | Dinnington | Ward 4 : Dinnington | Estate road | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| High Hooton Road | Hooton Levitt | Ward 4 : Dinnington | Throughout | Reserve | £24,000.00 |
| Chestnut Grove | Maltby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | Throughout (155m2) | Reserve | £4,000.00 |
| Hollytree Ave | Maltby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | Throughout (75m2) | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Laburnum Rd | Maltby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | 2 patches at jcn with Addison Rd | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Scott Walk | Maltby | Ward 5 : Hellaby | Cul - de-sac (200m2) | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Beech Way | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | junction Aughton Road | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Church View | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | o/s 3 to o/s 15 | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Jay Lane | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | from o/s 3 to 15 | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Millstone Drive | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | o/s 2 + various other small patches | Reserve | £4,000.00 |
| Swan Road | Aston | Ward 6: Holderness | from junc The Chase to approx o/s 7 | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Acre Close | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Throughout (230m2) | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Briks Holt Drive | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Jcn Lansbury Avenue (50m2) | Reserve | £600.00 |
| Cartmel Close | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Patching & some alteration to kerbs - Ponding job due to mining subsidence. Contribution from UK Coak £6500.00 | Reserve | £7,000.00 |
| Clifton rise | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Throughout (580m2) | Reserve | £8,000.00 |
| Greenwood Crescent | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Throughout (350m2) | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Hanilton Road | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Throughout (1000m2) | Reserve | £13,000.00 |
| King Avenue | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | From Scholfield Cr to Deacon Cr (200m2) | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Limesway | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Jcn Braithwell Rd (140m2) | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Quarryfield Lane | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | W/C Patching from junction with Dale hill Rd to Springvale Close | Reserve | £3,000.00 |
| Queen Mary Street | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Throughout (100m2) | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Vernon Way | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Jcn Upperfield Rd (60m2) | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Walters Road | Maltby | Ward 9: Maltby | Carriageway patching & footway works - promised works from last year | Reserve | £4,500.00 |
| Stubbin Lane | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | near houses on hill low stubbin | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Willowgarth | Rawmarsh | Ward 10: Rawmarsh | near junc Claypit Lane | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| St James' Walk, | Orgreave | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | nr junc Orgreave Rise | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Central Drive | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | junc Locksley | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Kingsforth Road | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | o/s Shedlands factory | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| South Street | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | backs - rear 29 Green Arb Road | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| South Street | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | various/nearly all of relatively short street | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| St Withold Avenue | Thurcroft | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | o/s 2 | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Treetown Crescent | Treeton | Ward 11 : Rother Vale | junc Well Lane and junc Station Road | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Milton Rd | Moorgate | Ward 12 : Rotherham east | (Full C/Way Overlay at 2 locations) | Reserve | £15,000.00 |
| Bigby Way | Bramley | Ward 14 : Silverwood | junc Searby Road | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Wilson Drive | Thrybergh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | Single defective area | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Springfield Drive | Thrybergh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | Outside No.22 | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Well Drive | Thrybergh | Ward 14 : Silverwood | Throughout | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Hoylake Drive | Swinton | Ward 16 : Swinton | most of carriageway affected | Reserve | £10,000.00 |
| Brecks Crescent | Brecks | Ward 17 : Valley | Throughout | Reserve | £4,000.00 |
| The Brow | Brecks | Ward 17 : Valley | Throughout | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Toll Bar Road | Brecks | Ward 17 : Valley | Throughout | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| Warreners Drive | Thrybergh | Ward 17 : Valley | Junc East Vale Drive | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Latimer Close | Kiveton Park | Ward 18 : Wales | Estate cul-de-sac - overlay | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| Walesmoor Avenue | Kiveton Park | Ward 18 : Wales | Various patches throughout | Reserve | £12,000.00 |
| Worksop Road | Thorpe Salvin | Ward 18 : Wales | Ladyfield Road to Parish Oven | Reserve | £7,000.00 |
| Sandygate | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | opposite 59 | Reserve | £1,000.00 |
| Vicar Road | Wath | Ward 19 : Wath | large patches | Reserve | £2,000.00 |
| The Crescent East | Flanderwell | Ward 20 : Wickersley | Throughout (550m2) | Reserve | £7,500.00 |
| The Crescent West | Flanderwell | Ward 20 : Wickersley | Throughout (925m2) | Reserve | £12,500.00 |
| Warren Rd | Wickersley | Ward 20 : Wickersley | 1 patch o/s no.6 | Reserve | £5,000.00 |
| | | | <u>TOTAL COST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - NON PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE</u> | | <u>£355,100.00</u> |
| | | | <u>TOTAL COST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - PRECAUTIONARY ROUTE</u> | | <u>£699,100.00</u> |
| | | | <u>TOTAL COST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE - URGENT PHASE 2 REPAIRS</u> | | <u>£263,000.00</u> |
| | | | <u>TOTAL COST OF OTHER SEVERE DAMAGE</u> | | <u>£1,317,200.00</u> |